

DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NO.	2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSIO	N NO.	3. RECIPIENT	S CATALOG NO.	
SPTC15 1-06					
				ATC	
4. THE AND SUBTILE		RV	5. REPORT DATE		
WITTOATING DIT STININGAGE PAVEIVIENT CRACKING		וט	C DEDEODM		
GEOCELL			6. PERFORM	ING ORGANIZATION CODE	
7. AUTHOR(S)			8. PERFORM	ING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
Xiaoming Yang, Ph.D., P.E.					
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRE	SS		10. WORK UN	IIT NO.	
School of Civil and Environmental	Engineering				
Oklahoma State University			11. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		
Stillwater Oklahoma 74078			DTRT13-G-UTC36		
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS	ntor		13. TYPE OF	3. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED	
Southern Plains Transportation Ce	nter		Final		
201 Stephenson Pkwy, Suite 4200			March 2016 – November 2019		
The University of Oklahoma		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE			
Norman, OK 73019					
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
University Transportation Center					
16. ABSTRACT					
Dry shrinkage cracking in paveme	ents is currently on	e of the mo	st outstar	nding Geotechnical problems in	
Oklahoma as well as in other sout	hern plain states su	ch as Texas a	and Louisia	ana due to the wide distribution	
of expansive soils in this region.	The shrinkage pr	oblem on tl	ninly pave	ment asphalt pavements over	
subgrade soil can potentially be	mitigated by the u	ise of a geo	cell-reinfo	rced aggregate base layer or a	
geocell-reinforced soil base layer	under the asphalt	surface cour	se. In this	s study, two types of pavement	
structure were recommended, a	sphalt concrete ov	ver a geocel	l reinforce	ed aggregate base and asphalt	
concrete over soil base. Currently	there is no design r	nethod avail	able for fl	exible pavements with a geocell	
reinforced base. A preliminary de	esign method was	developed i	n this stu	dy, which is compatible to the	
current AASHTO MEPDG.					
17. KEY WORDS		18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT			
Geocell, geosynthetic, flexible pavement		No restrictions. This publication is available at			
		www.sptc.org and from the NTIS.			
19. SECURITY CLASSIF. (OF THIS REPORT)	20. SECURITY CLASSIF.	21. NO. OF PAGE	S	22. PRICE	
Unclassified	(OF THIS PAGE)	23 + cover			
	Unclassified				

SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL in ches 22.4 mail millineters mm in the teet 0.305 meters mm yd yards 0.914 meters mm in the teet 0.305 meters mm in the square inches 645.2 square meters m2 yd' square feat 0.033 square meters m2 ac. acres 0.435 meters mm acres fluid ounces 2.57 milliners mL gd gdatare yard 0.336 square meters m3 gd gdatare yards 0.257 milliners mL gd gdatare yards 0.257 milliners mL gd gdatare yards 0.454 klograms kg gdatare yards 0.975 colse meters m3 res gdatare yards	SI* (MODERN METRIC) CONVERSION FACTORS								
SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL In inches 225.4 millimeters millim	APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS								
LENGTHininches25.4millinetersmmftfeet0.305metersmmile1.51klometersmmiles1.51klometersmin ² square inches64.5.2square metersm ² yd ² square miles2.59square metersm ² yd ² square miles2.57millinetersmyd ² square miles2.57millinetersmgalgalone3.785litersLgalone3.785litersmmtitcubic test0.028cubic metersm ³ yd ⁴ cubic test0.028cubic metersm ³ vd ⁴ cubic test0.028cubic metersm ³ yd ⁴ cubic static0.028cubic metersm ³ yd ⁴ cubic yantsMg (or ")mmftfoot-Lamberts10.76cardale/m ² defyd ⁴ cubic static10.76cardale/m ² deftitfoot-Lamberts10.76cardale/m ² kftpoundorcefoot-LambertsNkftpoundorcesquare inch6.83klopscalskftpoundorcesqua	SYMBOL	WHEN YOU KNOW	MULTIPLY BY	TO FIND	SYMBOL				
in inches 25.4 millimeters mm yd yards 0.305 meters m yards 0.914 meters m inf ² square inches 545.2 square millimeters mm ² itf ² square leet 0.033 square meters m ² acras acras 0.435 square meters m ² acras acras 0.435 hectares hat gallons 3.785 liters nt gallons gallons 3.785 liters nt m ³ yd* cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ yd* cubic gards 0.454 kilograms g yd cubic gards 0.454 kilograms g yd cubic gards 0.424 kilograms g yd* cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ yd* cubic feet 0.028 gallons g			LENGTH						
ft feet 0.305 meters m yards 0.914 meters m miles 1.61 kiometers km in ² square inches 64.6.2 square meters m ² yd ² square inches 64.6.2 square meters m ² yd ² square inches 64.6.2 square meters m ² yd ² square inches 0.405 hectares ha ² m ² square miles 2.59 square kilometers m ² m ² square inters m ² L ters M gal galons 3.735 itters L L tit cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ outics 0.765 cubic meters m ³ m ³ outics 0.454 kilograms g g outics 0.454 kilograms kg cdm ² T Fehrenhelit for f-23/gri.8 <t< th=""><th>in</th><th>inches</th><th>25.4</th><th>millimeters</th><th>mm</th></t<>	in	inches	25.4	millimeters	mm				
yd yards 0,914 meters m miles 1,61 kikometers km AREA tr ² square inches 645.2 square millimeters mm ² square preters m ² ac acres 0,0405 hectares m ² ac acres 0,0405 hectares m ² ac acres 0,0405 hectares m ² ac acres 1,0405 hectares m ² ac acres 1,0405 hectares 1,0405	ft .	feet	0.305	meters	m				
Imm minus 1.01 kitometers kitometers in ² square inches 645.2 square millimeters mr ² yd ² square guare 0.838 square meters mr ² yd ² square millimeters mr ² mr ² acres 0.405 hectares ha ² min square miles 2.59 square kilometers mr ² floid floid ounces 29.57 millilliters mL floid galons 3.785 liters L fl ⁴ cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ ounces 28.35 grams g fl ⁵ Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Calsius "C of foot-candles 10.76 lux kg "C fl ⁶ pounds 0.454 kilograms N flo foot-candles 10.76 lux kg flo foot-candles 10.76 lux candela/m ² cdm ² flo poundscree persquare inch 6.89 kilopascials kPa bf poundscree persquare inch 6.89	yd	yards	0.914	meters	m				
In² square inches G45.2 square millimeters mm² It² square peter 0.083 square meters m² ac acras 0.405 hactans m² ac square meters m² m² ac square square 0.405 hactans m² m² square miles 2.59 square kilometers km² galons 3.785 liters n.1 m² galons 3.785 cubic meters m³ VCUUME 0.028 cubic meters m³ VCUUME megagrams (or "metric ton") m³ NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m³ sg sg outic sards 0.454 kilograms sg T short ton (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg f foot-candles 10.76 kilopascals kPa bi poundforce 4.45 newtons N tb/m² poundforce	mi	miles	1.61	Kilometers	KM				
Initial Square find <	in ²	aguara inchas		aguara millimatara	mm^2				
India Sequate free Output Sequate indexis m ² acces 0.405 hectares ha acces 0.405 hectares ha m ² square miles 2.59 square miles m ² gal galons 3.785 litters L gal galons 3.785 litters L yd* cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ yd* cubic stats 0.454 kilograms g yd* pounds 0.454 kilograms g yd* pounds 0.454 kilograms g yd* pounds 0.454 kilograms n yd* pounds 0.445 newtons N tbf	IN # ²	square incres	645.2 0.002	square millimeters	mm				
Jo actes 0.000 bectares hat mi ² square miles 2.59 square kilometers km ² floz fluid ounces 29.57 millitters mL gal galons 3.785 litters L ft ² cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m ³ yd ² cubic feet 0.765 cubic meters m ³ ounces 28.35 grams g gt short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or 't') "F Fahrenheit f (F-32)/18 Celsius 'CC ft foot-candles 10.76 lux k ft poundforce 4.45 newtons N bp/ma poundforce 6.89 kilopascals kPa	$\frac{11}{\sqrt{d^2}}$	square yard	0.093	square meters	m²				
m² square miles 2.59 square kilometers km² floz fluid ounces 2.57 milliliters mL gail galons 3.785 liters L gail galons 3.785 liters L yd² cubic feet 0.028 cubic meters m³ yd² cubic yards 0.765 cubic meters m³ yd² ounces 28.35 grams g yd² ounces 28.35 grams g yda ounces 28.35 grams g </th <th>yu ac</th> <th>acres</th> <th>0.850</th> <th>hectares</th> <th>m² ha</th>	yu ac	acres	0.850	hectares	m² ha				
Id oz gał gał gałona tr VOLUME stati str milliiters stati oubic meters milliiters mał stati cubic meters milliiters mał stati cubic meters milliiters mał stati stat	mi ²	square miles	2.59	square kilometers	km ²				
If oz fluid ounces 29.57 millitiers mL gal galons 3.785 liters L yd* cubic yards 0.783 cubic meters m** yd* cubic yards 0.785 cubic meters m** NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m* m** m** 0 ounces 28.35 grams g 0 ounces 0.454 kilograms g 1 short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or "t") °F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)% Calsius °C. or (F-32)/1.8 Calsius °C. °C. ib/n* poundforce 5 (F-32)% Calsius °C. ib/n* foot-candles 10.76 lux lx ib/n* poundforce per square inch 6.89 klopascals KPa ib/n* poundforce per square inch 6.89 klopascals KPa <			VOLUME						
gallong gallong Constrained Data Stress Lite H ² cubic feet 0.223 cubic meters m ³ Vd ⁴ cubic feet 0.223 cubic meters m ³ Vd ⁴ cubic shall be shown in m ³ m ³ Vd ⁴ cubic stall be shown in m ³ m ³ Vd ⁴ cubic stall be shown in m ³ m ³ Vd ⁴ short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or 't') *F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius *C C ib pounds 3.428 cadlea/m ² k k g kg *F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius *C C foot-candles 10.76 Lux k k fl foot-lamberts 3.428 cadlea/m ² cd/m ² SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm millimeters 0.039 inches in m meters	floz	fluid ounces	29.57	milliliters	ml				
t ¹⁷³ ouble feet 0.028 cubic meters m³ NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m³ m³ MASS oz ounces 28.35 grams g b pounds 0.454 kilograms g T short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or "t") "F Fahrenheit 5 (F.322)/1.8 Celsius °C ILLUMINATION fc foot-candles 10.76 lux k fb poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals N tool (Fe32)/1.8 LENGTH Tool SYMBOL APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL meters 0.039 inches in m meters 0.824 feet ft ² KPa SUMBOL </th <th>gal</th> <th>gallons</th> <th>3.785</th> <th>liters</th> <th>1</th>	gal	gallons	3.785	liters	1				
yd ³ cubic yards 0.765 cubic meters m ³ NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m ³ MASS oz ounces 23.35 grams g jb pounds 0.454 kilograms g TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C °C "F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Classics foot-candles 10.76 Lux FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS boundforce 4.45 newtons N poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals KPa SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL meters 0.039 inches inf meters 0.232 for Colspan="2">for Colspan="2" Symmotion	ft ³	cubic feet	0.028	cubic meters	–				
NOTE: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m³ m² OZ ounces 23.35 grams g Ib pounds 0.454 kilograms kg T short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or 't') "F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/1.8 Celsius °C ILLUMINATION ILLUMINATION kg cardela/m² cardela/m² fc foot-candles 10.76 lux kz fl foot-candles 10.76 lux kz fl foot-candles 10.76 lux kz fl poundforce FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N bf/m² poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals kPa SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm meters 0.039 inches in mm square milimeters 0.021 miles m² ma square milimeters 0.034	yd ³	cubic yards	0.765	cubic meters	m ³				
MASSQ2Ounces28.35gramsgQ3pounds0.454kilogramsgPTEMPERATURE (exact degrees)PFFahrenheitS(F-32)/9PFFahrenheitS(F-32)/9PFFahrenheitS(F-32)/9PGLLUMINATIONfcfoot-candles10.76NIItot colspan="2">tot colspan="2">S(F-32)/9PoundforceLLUMINATIONfcfoot-candles10.76Nbifpoundforce per square inch6.83kilopascalsNSYMBOLWHEN YOU KNOWMULTIPLY BYTO FINDSYMBOLmmmeters1.09yardsydmm ² square millimeters0.039inchesinf ² mm ² square millimeters0.034fluid ouncesflimm ² square milers1.195square milersif colspan="2">if colspan="2">if colspan="2">KILUMINATIONfcILLUMINEKSYMBOLWHEN YOU KNOWMULTIPL YesTO FINDSYMBOLmm <th colsp<="" th=""><th></th><th>NOT</th><th>E: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be</th><th>e shown in m³</th><th></th></th>	<th></th> <th>NOT</th> <th>E: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be</th> <th>e shown in m³</th> <th></th>		NOT	E: volumes greater than 1000 L shall be	e shown in m ³				
oz b b younds 28.35 0.4.54 grams megagrams (or "metric ton") g Mg (or "t") "F Fahrenheit 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or "t") "F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/13 °C °C or (F-32)/13 or (F-32)/14 V k t tut/UMINATION k k t foot-Lamberts 3.426 candela/m2 k t foot-Lamberts 3.426 candela/m2 k bb/m2 poundforce 4.45 newtons N bb/m2 poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascials kPa bb/m2 poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascials kPa bb/m3 poundforce 4.45 newtons N bb/m4 meters 0.328 feet t m5 meters 0.238 feet t m6 square millimeters 0.621 miles miles m6 square meters 1.09			MASS						
bb pounds 0.454 kilograms kg T short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or "t") "F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius "C "F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius "C "G or (F-32)/1.8 "LLUMINATION k torot-candles 10.76 lux k foot-candles 10.76 candela/m ² cd/m ² bf poundforce 4.45 newtons N bf/in ² poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals kPa EMPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL MHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm meters 3.28 feet ft mm meters 0.039 inches in mm ² square meters 1.09 yards yd km kilometers 0.036 square lead ft ² m ² square meters 1.195 square lead ft ² m ² square meters 1.307 cubic feet ft ² m ² square meters 0.334 <td< th=""><th>oz</th><th>ounces</th><th>28.35</th><th>grams</th><th>g</th></td<>	oz	ounces	28.35	grams	g				
T short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams (or "metric ton") Mg (or "t") TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) "F TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) "C "C or (F-32)/1.8 ILLUMINATION tc foot-candles 10.76 lux lx TORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS bf poundforce 4.45 newtons N bf poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals KPa SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL Imm millimeters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.2.8 feet it MEG APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS Symmeters 0.039 inches <th <<="" colspan="2" th=""><th>lb</th><th>pounds</th><th>0.454</th><th>kilograms</th><th>kg</th></th>	<th>lb</th> <th>pounds</th> <th>0.454</th> <th>kilograms</th> <th>kg</th>		lb	pounds	0.454	kilograms	kg		
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C °F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius °C ILLUMINATION fc foot-candles 10.76 lux lx fl foot-candles 10.76 lux lx FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS b/ poundforce 4.45 newtons N bit poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals KPa SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm millimeters 0.039 inches in mm meters 1.09 yards yd mm meters 1.09 yards yd mm meters 0.0016 square inches in mm meters 0.0016 square inches in <th c<="" th=""><th>Т</th><th>short tons (2000 lb)</th><th>0.907</th><th>megagrams (or "metric ton")</th><th>Mg (or "t")</th></th>	<th>Т</th> <th>short tons (2000 lb)</th> <th>0.907</th> <th>megagrams (or "metric ton")</th> <th>Mg (or "t")</th>	Т	short tons (2000 lb)	0.907	megagrams (or "metric ton")	Mg (or "t")			
"F Fahrenheit 5 (F-32)/9 Celsius "C ILLUMINATION fc foot-candles 10.76 lux k fl foot-lamberts 3.426 candela/m ² cd/m ² IDF FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS IDF IDF APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL IDF IND SYMBOL Meters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet tt mm millimeters 0.039 inches milling mm ² square millimeters 0.0016 square inches in ² AREA mm ² square meters 1.764 square feet tt ² m ² square meters 0.386 square miles mi ² m ² square meters 0.37 cubic feet tt ² m ³ cubic meters 0.334 fluid ounces fl m ³ cubic meters 0.353 ounces oz IDF <			TEMPERATURE (exact degi	rees)					
or (F-32)/1.8 ILLUMINATION fc foot-candles 10.76 Lux Lx fl foot-Lamberts 3.426 candela/m ² cd/m ² FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS bf poundforce 4.45 newtons N IDE poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals kPa APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm millimeters 0.039 inches in millimeters 0.036 square inches	°F	Fahrenheit	5 (F-32)/9	Celsius	C°				
ILLUMINATION fc foot-candles 10.76 lux lx foot-candles 10.76 lux lx cd/m² FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS lbf poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals N NPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL Immediate meters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 3.28 feet ft mm meters 0.621 miles mi mm ² square milimeters 0.0016 square inches in ² mail actares 2.47 accares ac ² mail bectares 2.47 accres ac ² mail millifiers 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz m ² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mile m ² square meters 1.195 square miles mile<			or (F-32)/1.8						
fc foot-candles 10.76 Lux Lx If foot-Lamberts 3.426 candela/m² cd/m² FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS Ibf poundforce 4.45 newtons N Ibf poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals kPa SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL m meters 0.039 inches in m meters 0.039 inches if m meters 0.021 miles miles mm* meters 0.021 miles miles mm* square meters 1.09 square feet ff² m* square meters 1.0764 square feet ff² m* square meters 0.386 square miles mi² m* square meters 0.386 square miles mi² m* square meters 0.386 square miles mi² m* square square islometers 1.307 cubic square square gal m* squ			ILLUMINATION						
fl fort-Lamberts 3.426 candlela/m ² cd/m ² FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS bf poundforce 4.45 newtons N hort colspan="2">N APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL Image: Conversion of the second secon	fc	foot-candles	10.76	lux	lx				
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS Ibf inf ² poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals N APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL MENTY YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL MENTY YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL MENTY YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL Immediate and the inters 0.039 inches in meters 0.039 inches int meters 0.0216 square millimeters 0.0216 square meters 1.195 square grame meters MENT VOLUME m ² square meters 0.134 fuido conces flip MENT VOLUME M	fl	foot-Lamberts	3.426	candela/m ²	cd/m²				
Ibf poundforce 4.45 newtons N https://www.state.conversions from situation in the sitefficient in the			FORCE and PRESSURE or ST	RESS					
Ibfin ² poundforce per square inch 6.89 kilopascals kPa APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm millimeters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 0.621 miles mil mm ² square millimeters 0.0016 square inches in ² m ² square meters 10.764 square pards yd ² ha hectares 2.47 acres ac km ² square meters 0.386 square miles mi ² ML millitiers 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz u titers 0.264 gallons gal m ³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic feet ft ³ m ³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd ³ g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons	lbf	poundforce	4.45	newtons	N				
APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM SI UNITS SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm meters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 1.09 yards yd km kilometers 0.621 miles mi mm² square millimeters 0.0016 square inches in² m² square meters 1.0764 square inches yd² m² square meters 1.195 square yards yd² m² square meters 1.195 square yards yd² km² square kilometers 0.334 fluid ounces fl oz km² square kilometers 0.264 gallons gal m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ g grams 0.035 ounces oz g grams 0.035 ounces oz	lbf/in ²	poundforce per square in	nch 6.89	kilopascals	kPa				
SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW MULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL mm millimeters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 1.09 yards yd km kilometers 0.621 miles mi mm² square meters 0.0016 square inches in² m² square meters 10.764 square feet ft² m² square meters 1.195 square miles mi² m² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mi² m² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mi² m² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mi² m² square kilometers 0.336 square miles mi² m³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic square jb m³ cubic meters 2.202 pounds jb m³ cubic meters 1.307 splanes oz kg kilograms 2.202		APPRO	XIMATE CONVERSIONS F	ROM SI UNITS					
LENGTH Inches In mm meters 0.039 inches in m meters 3.28 feet ft m meters 1.09 yards yd km kilometers 0.621 miles mi mm ² square millimeters 0.621 miles mi m ² square meters 1.0764 square square ft ² m ² square meters 1.195 square yards yd ² ha hectares 2.47 acres ac km ² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mi ² mL milliliters 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz square kilometers 35.314 cubic feet ft 3 m ³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft 3 m ³ cubic meters 2.202 pounds yd 3 g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb	SYMBOL	WHEN YOU KNOW	MULTIPLY BY	TO FIND	SYMBOL				
mmmillimeters0.039inchesinmmeters3.28feetftmmeters3.28feetftmmeters0.621milesmikmkilometers0.621milesmi m^2 square millimeters0.0016square inchesin² m^2 square meters10.764square gradsyd² m^2 square meters1.195square gradsyd² m^2 square meters1.195square gradsyd²hahectares2.47acresackmsquare kilometers0.336square milesmi²mLmilliliters0.034fluid ouncesfl ozLliters0.264gallonsgalm³cubic meters35.314cubic feetft³octic meters1.307cubic yardsyd³m³cubic meters2.202poundslbMag (or "t")megagrams (or "metric ton")1.103short tons (2000 lb)TILLUMINATIONlxlux0.0929foot-candlesfccd/m²candel/m²0.2219foot-candlesflFORCE and PRESSURE or STRESSNnewtons0.225poundforcelbfhaheldensede0.445poundforcelbf			LENGTH						
mmeters 3.28 feetftmmeters 1.09 yardsydkmkilometers 0.621 milesmilesmm²square millimeters 0.0016 square inchesin²m²square meters 10.764 square feetft²m²square meters 1.195 square yardsyd²hahectares 2.47 acresackm²square kilometers 0.386 square milesmi²mLmilliliters 0.034 fluid ouncesfl ozLliters 0.2644 gallonsgalm³cubic meters 35.314 cubic feetft³m³cubic meters 1.307 cubic yardsyd³ggrams 0.035 ouncesozggrams $1.8C+32$ Fahrenheitogm3cubic meter torn) 1.103 short tons (2000 lb)TTEMPERATURE (exact degrees)or.Celsius $1.8C+32$ Fahrenheitogicut 1.0029 foot-candlesfccd/m²candela/m² 0.2919 foot-candlesfcNnewtons 0.225 poundforcelbfNkiloparesels 0.445 poundforcelbf	mm	millimeters	0.039	inches	in				
m meters 1.09 yards yd kn kilometers 0.621 miles miles mm² square millimeters 0.0016 square inches in² m² square meters 10.764 square feet ft² m² square meters 1.95 square yards yd² m² square meters 1.95 square yards yd² m² square kilometers 2.47 acres ac km² square kilometers 0.336 square miles mi² mL milliliters 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz L liters 0.264 gallons gal m³ cubic meters 3.5.314 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T IELUMINATION kg lux 0.0329 foot-candles fc c C C C </th <th>m</th> <th>meters</th> <th>3.28</th> <th>feet</th> <th>ft</th>	m	meters	3.28	feet	ft				
kmkilometers 0.621 milesmiAREAmm²square millimeters 0.0016 square inchesin²m²square meters 10.764 square feetft²m²square meters 1.195 square yardsyd²hahectares 2.47 acresackm²square kilometers 0.386 square milesmi²volumeters 0.386 square milesmi²mLmilliliters 0.034 fluid ouncesfl ozLliters 0.264 gallonsgalm³cubic meters 35.314 cubic feetft³m³cubic meters 1.307 cubic yardsyd³m³cubic meters 1.307 cubic yardsyd³ggrams 0.035 ouncesozkgkilograms 2.202 poundslbMg (or "t")megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb)TTEMPERATURE (exact degrees)orcandela/m² 0.929 foot-candlesfccd/m²candela/m² 0.2919 foot-candlesf1FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESSNnewtons 0.225 poundforcelbfNnewtons 0.225 poundforcelbf	m	meters	1.09	yards	yd				
AREAmm²square millimeters0.0016square inchesin²m²square meters10.764square feetft²m²square meters1.195square yardsyd²hahectares2.47accesackm²square kilometers0.386square milesmi²VOLUMEmLmilliliters0.034fluid ouncesfl ozliters0.264gallonsgalm³cubic meters35.314cubic feetft³m³cubic meters35.314cubic yardsyd³MASSggrams0.035ouncesozggrams0.035ouncesozkilograms2.202poundslbTIEMPERATURE (exact degrees)or.CCelsius1.8C+32Fahrenheitor.caladam²0.0929foot-candlesfcILLUMINATIONlxlux0.0929foot-candlesfcFORCE and PRESSURE or STRESSNnewtons0.225poundforce paragrams in bllb fNnewtons0.225poundforcelb fNnewtons0.225poundforcelb f	km	kilometers	0.621	miles	mi				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			AREA						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline m^2 & square meters & 10.764 & square feet & ft^2 \\ \hline m^2 & square meters & 1.195 & square yards & yd^2 \\ \hline ha & hectares & 2.47 & acres & ac \\ \hline km^2 & square kilometers & 0.386 & square miles & mi^2 \\ \hline \hline & VOLUME & \\ \hline mL & milliliters & 0.034 & fluid ounces & fl oz \\ L & liters & 0.264 & gallons & gal \\ \hline m^3 & cubic meters & 35.314 & cubic feet & ft^3 \\ \hline m^3 & cubic meters & 1.307 & cubic yards & yd^3 \\ \hline & \hline & MASS & \\ g & grams & 0.035 & ounces & oz \\ kg & kilograms & 2.202 & pounds & lb \\ Mg (or "t") & megagrams (or "metric ton") & 1.103 & short tons (2000 lb) & T \\ \hline & \hline$	mm ²	square millimeters	0.0016	square inches	in ²				
m2 hasquare meters1.195square yardsyd2hahectares2.47acresackm2square kilometers0.386square milesmi2VOLUMEmLmilliliters0.034fluid ouncesfl ozLliters0.264gallonsgalm3cubic meters35.314cubic feetft³MASSggrams0.035ouncesozIMASSggrams0.035ouncesozkgkilograms2.202poundslbILLUMINATIONILLUMINATIONlxlux0.0929foot-candlesfcC Celsius1.8C+32FahrenheitorFORCE and PRESSURE or STRESSNnewtons0.225poundforcelbfKalionaerals0.145poundforceNnewtons0.225poundforceNnewtons0.215poundforceNnewtons0.225poundforceNnewtons0.225poundforceNnewtons0.225poundforceNnewtons0.225poundforceILLUMINATIONILLUMINATIONILLUMINATIONILLUMINATIONILLUMINATION <th< th=""><th>m²</th><th>square meters</th><th>10.764</th><th>square feet</th><th>ft²</th></th<>	m ²	square meters	10.764	square feet	ft ²				
na nectares 2.47 acres ac km ² square kilometers 0.386 square miles mi ² mL milliliters 0.386 square miles mi ² mL milliliters 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz L liters 0.264 gallons gal m ³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft ³ m ³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd ³ g grams 0.035 ounces oz g(or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T ILLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc GC Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit or FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf Litticum frame part or upper pa	m ²	square meters	1.195	square yards	yd				
Mile Square kilometers 0.380 Square filles Infl mL milliliters 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz L liters 0.264 gallons gal m³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet fl³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T ILLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc codeala/m² FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf	na km ²	nectares	2.47	acres	ac mi ²				
VOLUME mL milliliters 0.034 fluid ounces fl oz L liters 0.264 gallons gall m³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ MASS g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F LLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m² candela/m² 0.2919 foot-candles Ibf N newtons 0.225 poundforce Ibf FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N neurodforce concourem incols </th <th>NIII</th> <th>square kilometers</th> <th></th> <th>square miles</th> <th>1111</th>	NIII	square kilometers		square miles	1111				
mL Initiation 0.034 Indicionates If of 2 L liters 0.264 gallons gal m³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ MASS g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION I Celsius fc fc Ix<			VOLUME	fluid auroaca	<i>4</i>				
L Inters 0.204 galloris galloris m³ cubic meters 35.314 cubic feet ft³ m³ cubic meters 1.307 cubic yards yd³ MASS g grams 0.035 ounces oz g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION I I Candela/m² 0.0929 foot-candles fc FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf KPa kilopascals 0.145 poundforce lbf	mL	litors	0.034	fiuld ounces	fi OZ				
m3 cubic interes 0.307 cubic iter iteration m3 cubic meters 1.307 cubic iteration yd3 m3 cubic meters MASS vide g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m2 candela/m2 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kBa kilopascals 0.145 reupdforce per course inch lbf	L	cubic meters	0.204	gallons cubic feet	gai ft ³				
mo Couble Finders MASS g grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m ² candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 poundforce lbf	m ³	cubic meters	1 307	cubic vards	vd ³				
grams 0.035 ounces oz kg kilograms 2.202 pounds lb Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION lx lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m ² candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 poundforce lbf	m°		MASS		ya				
y y </th <th>a</th> <th>arams</th> <th>0.035</th> <th>ounces</th> <th>07</th>	a	arams	0.035	ounces	07				
Mg (or "t") megagrams (or "metric ton") 1.103 short tons (2000 lb) T TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION Ix lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 poundforce lbf	9 ka	kilograms	2,202	pounds	lb				
TEMPERATURE (exact degrees) °C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION Ix lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m ² Celsius °F ILLUMINATION Ix lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf KPa kilopascals 0.145 neuroscipascipascipascipascipascipascipascipa	Mg (or "t")	megagrams (or "metric t	on") 1.103	short tons (2000 lb)	T				
°C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit °F ILLUMINATION Ix lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m² candela/m² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 neurosciptical lbf			TEMPERATURE (exact deg	ees)					
ILLUMINATION Ix lux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m ² candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kBa kilopascals 0.145 neuror square inch lbf	C Celsius 1.8C+32 Fahrenheit								
Ix Iux 0.0929 foot-candles fc cd/m ² candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 newtors providence nor equare inch lbf			ILLUMINATION						
cd/m ² candela/m ² 0.2919 foot-Lamberts fl FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 newtors or square inch lbf/lm ²	lx	lux	0.0929	foot-candles	fc				
FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf kPa kilopascals 0.145 noundforce nor occurs inch lbf//n ²	cd/m ²	candela/m ²	0.2919	foot-Lamberts	fl				
N newtons 0.225 poundforce lbf	FORCE and PRESSURE or STRESS								
kPa kilopascals 0.145 noundforce per equara inch lhf/im ²	Ν	newtons	0.225	poundforce	lbf				
n a niupascais 0.143 poundiorce per square inch IDI/IN	kPa	kilopascals	0.145	poundforce per square inch	lbf/in ²				

*SI is the symbol for the International System of Units. Appropriate rounding should be made to comply with Section 4 of ASTM E380. (Revised March 2003)

MITIGATING DRY SHRINKAGE PAVEMENT CRACKING BY GEOCELL

Final Report

November 2019

Xiaoming Yang, Ph.D., P.E.

Oklahoma State University

SPTC 15.1-06

Southern Plain Transportation Center

201 Stephenson Pkwy, Suite 4200

The University of Oklahoma

Norman, Oklahoma 73019

Table of Contents

	TECHNICAL REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	ii
List	of Figures	6
1.	Introduction	7
2.	Literature Review	9
3.	Development of a Preliminary Design Method	. 12
Ν	Aodified Layered Elastic Solution with Reinforcement	. 12
Ν	Aodified Rutting Model for reinforced layer	. 14
4.	Design Examples	. 18
E	xample 1 Asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced aggregate base	. 18
E	xample 2 Asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced soil base	. 20
5.	Summary and Recommendations	22
6.	References	23

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Geocell-confined soil (picture from prestogeo.com)	8
Figure 3.1 Equivalency of geocell to sheet reinforcement	13

1. Introduction

Expansive soils are clayey soils with a large portion of Montmorillonite type minerals. Due to seasonal fluctuations of moisture content, expansive soils exhibit significant changes in volume (shrinkage and swelling). If a pavement is constructed directly on top of an expansive subgrade, shrinkage cracks in the subgrade soil developed in a dry season may propagate onto the pavement surface, which eventually forms large longitudinal cracks close to the outer edge of the pavement.

Dry shrinkage cracking in pavements is currently one of the most outstanding Geotechnical problems in Oklahoma as well as in other southern plain states such as Texas and Louisiana due to the wide distribution of expansive soils in this region (Dessouky et al. 2012). Both flexible and rigid pavement may be affected. In some cases, dry shrinkage cracks develop on a newly constructed pavement surface even before the traffic open.

Many attempts have been made in the past to mitigate the dry shrinkage cracking problem in pavements. The proposed solutions include chemical treatment (with lime or cement) (Scullion et al. 2000), geogrid-reinforcement (Zornberg, et al. 2008), moisture control products (Zhang and Presler 2012), as well as combinations of these methods (Luo and Prozzi 2009). All these methods have their limitations. Chemical treatment of soil only improve the soil properties of the top 9" to 15" of the subgrade, which does not change the shrink/swell nature of the soil underneath. Chemical treatment may also introduce additional shrinkage due to the hydration process of the stabilizer (Gaspard 2000). Geogrid-reinforcement increases the tensile strength of soil, but it requires a good interlocking with the treated soil for the tensile strength to be mobilized. Moisture control products such as drainage-capable geotextile controls the moisture of soil better when the environment is on the "wet" side than on the "dry" side, which limited its effectiveness on dry shrinkage cracking control.

Geocell is a three-dimensional geosynthetic product typically made from high density polyethylene (HDPE). It was originally developed by US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) as a quick soil reinforcement technique (Webster 1979a and 1979b) for unpaved road constructions. Due to its three-dimensional cellular structure, geocell provides confinement to the in-fill soil without relying on particle interlocking (as in the case of geogrids). Thus, marginal geo-materials and even fine-grained soils can be stabilized (Figure 1.1). Today, geocells have been increasingly used in unpaved roads, retaining walls, railway foundation support, and erosion control projects. In a recent canal rehabilitation project in Colorado,

7

geocell has also been successfully used for dry shrinkage cracking control of the concrete liner (PRESTO 2014). However, most of the applications and previous research on geocell focused on the effect of improving strength and stiffness of soil (Yang and Han 2013, Yang et al. 2013). No literature was found on applications of geocell in dry shrinkage cracking control for pavements.



Figure 1.1 Geocell-confined soil (picture from prestogeo.com)

The objective of this research is to explore the feasibility of using geocell-reinforced pavement to mitigate the shrinkage problems and to develop a preliminary design method. Two types of potential pavement structures were considered: (1) asphalt concrete over geocell-reinforced aggregate base and (2) asphalt concrete over geocell-reinforced soil base.

2. Literature Review

In late 1970s, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station performed a series of research studies (Webster and Watkins 1977; Webster 1979a; Webster 1979b) to develop rapid and effective soil reinforcement techniques. Such techniques would help build roads quickly on unstable soils to support military vehicles. Webster and Watkins (1977) built seven unpaved test road sections (one unreinforced control section and six sections with different types of reinforced base course) on soft clay to compare different reinforcement techniques. By measuring the rut depth developed on the road after traffic loading, they found that one of the sections with a 12 inch thick sand (not a suitable material for base course) base course reinforced by cellular-confinement (made up of isolated plastic tubes of 6 inch diameter and 1 foot long) marginally outperformed the control section with a 14 inch thick crushed stone base course. After this study, a cellular confinement system, named "grid cell", was soon developed, which is made up of square shaped grids and filled with sand. To assist design and application, both laboratory model test (Rea and Mitchell 1978) and full-scale road test (Webster 1979a; Webster 1979b) were performed to investigate a variety of factors that may influence the behavior of grid cell reinforced Sand. The factors evaluated in these studies include grid size, grid shape, grid material, thickness of the sand-grid layer, subgrade stiffness, type of sand, compaction, load type, etc. These test data were later summarized and analyzed by Mitchell et al. (1979), who then proposed some useful analytical formulas to predict the capacity of the grid cell reinforced sand base course against different failure modes.

The grid cell used in Webster's (1979b) test study was made of paper and aluminum. Both materials have some drawbacks since paper has a poor resistance to water and aluminum is relatively expensive. Webster (1979b) further suggested that plastic might be a good material worth investigation. In 1980s, polymeric cellular confinement product was developed, and the general term "geocell" was first used to refer to this kind of products. Meanwhile, the benefit of using geocell reinforcement was widely demonstrated and studied in the U.S. as well as in Europe and Asia. Today geocell has been successfully used as a quick and effective soil reinforcement technique in retaining wall, foundation, and pavement structures.

The use of geocell to reinforce pavement base course has been limited for two main reasons. Although geocell has been proved to be efficient in reducing the permanent deformation in pavement, very little

9

effort was made to develop a design method for geocell reinforcement. The recommended configuration (cell size, thickness, etc.) of geocell reinforced base provided by the test study cannot be simply apply to another situation with different soil type or different geocell product. A rational design model is needed to predict the pavement response (such as resilient modulus and permanent deformation) with consideration of geocell reinforcement.

The only design method for geocell reinforce road base was proposed by Mengelt et al. (2000), who performed laboratory resilient modulus test on unreinforced and single-geocell-reinforced soils. Both cohesive and granular soils were used as the infill material. A special chamber was made for the single-geocell-reinforced sample because the diameter of such sample (25cm) was larger than the standard diameter (15cm) of sample for the test equipment. Test results showed that the resilient modulus of geocell-reinforced granular soil was slightly (1.4% to 3.2%) larger than that of unreinforced granular soil, whereas the resilient modulus of geocell-reinforced fine-grained soil increased 16.5% to 17.9% compared with that of the unreinforced soil. As for permanent deformation, the permanent deformation reduced by 50% for aggregate sample and 44% for sand sample when geocell reinforcement was included. Mengelt et al. (2000) also proposed a method to incorporate these findings into the flexible pavement design method (Huang 1993).

No published literature was found on numerical modeling of geocell-reinforced soil supporting repeated load. Some researcher (Perkins 2004; Kwon et al. 2009) modeled geogrid-reinforced aggregate road base based on the framework of the mechanistic-empirical model. Although the reinforcement mechanism of geogrid and geocell are quite different, these numerical models provided valuable understandings on the problem which is very helpful for this study. For example, Perkins (2004) and Kwon et al. (2009) both emphasized the importance of considering the residual horizontal stress within the base course induced by compaction. In Perkins' model, an isolated compaction model has to run first to calculate the residual stress induced by compaction. The residual stress was estimated indirectly by shrink the geocell by 1% strain horizontally. And then two traffic models (Traffic I and II) are used to calculate the residual stress in the base after a certain number of wheel passes. Finally, the residual stress obtained from traffic models is assigned as the initial stress to the response model (Traffic III) for calculating the resilient response of the pavement. Perkins (2004) also developed a permanent deformation model for geogrid reinforced soil, which must be calibrated performing cyclic triaxial test on geogrid reinforced soil samples. Kwon et al. (2009) directly assigned a 41 kPa initial horizontal stress to the base within 102mm above the geogrid to consider the compaction effect. They used an

10

anisotropic resilient modulus model for the aggregate base to account for the different behavior of soil under cyclic axial stress and cyclic confining stress. Due to the planar geometry of geogrid, Perkins (2004) and Kwon et al. (2009) both used two-dimensional (axisymmetric) models.

3. Development of a Preliminary Design Method

In this chapter, a preliminary design method is developed based on the current AASHTO Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide (MEPDG). The design model for flexible pavement in the MEPDG has two important components, the response model and the damage model. The response model utilizes the layered elastic solution (by Burmister 1943, 1945) to calculate the response of the pavement at critical locations under one single wheel load. Then the damage model projects the distress (cracking, rutting, etc.) of the pavement structure based on response output from the response model. The above two-step calculation is repeated all truck load configurations and climate conditions throughout the design life of the pavement. The calculation procedure for a typical pavement design project is therefore very lengthy, thus it is not feasible to perform a hand calculation. AASHTO provided a computer program (Pavement-ME), and it is currently used by some states to run the MEPDG design.

Since the current Pavement-ME program does not allow for input of geosynthetic reinforcement. There are only two ways to consider the geocell reinforcement on the design. The first way is to change the input parameters of the reinforced material. The second way is to change the calibration factors in the damage model.

Modified Layered Elastic Solution with Reinforcement

The response of a multi-layered elastic system to a distributed load on the surface was originally calculated by Bermister (1943). This model was adopted into the MEPDG to calculate the response of a pavement structure under a single wheel load. The original version of the model cannot consider external reinforcement inside the multi-layered system. XXXX (1956) proposed a modified solution to include a thin layer of reinforcement into the interface between any of two layers in the pavement structure. The modified model introduces three additional material parameters of the reinforcement, the elastic modulus E_q , the Poisson's ratio v_q , and the thickness of the reinforcement sheet t_q .

However, geocell is not a thin sheet type of material. The thickness of the geocell structure is the same as the reinforced layer. Therefore, in this study, it is assumed that the effect of geocell can be equivalent to three layers of 1-mm thick reinforcement sheets at the top, the middle, and the bottom of the geocell-reinforced layer. In order to obtain the equivalent plane material parameters, it is further assumed that the structure of geocell pocket is regular hexagon (honeycomb).



Figure 3.1 Equivalency of geocell to sheet reinforcement

Based on the basic theory of cellular structure mechanics, the equivalent plain reinforcement of each of the three layers can be determined by:

$$E_g = 21 \left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{L^2 + W^2}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{H}{1 \text{ mm}}\right) E_s \tag{3.1}$$

$$v_g = 1 \tag{3.2}$$

$$t_g = 1 \text{ mm} \tag{3.3}$$

where

T = thickness of the geocell sheet (without texture)

L =length of the geocell pocket

W = width of the geocell pocket

H = height of the geocell

 E_s = elastic modulus of the geocell sheet

In this study, a typical three-layer pavement (with a surface course, a base course, and the subgrade) is considered. Due to the equivalency illustrated in Figure 3.1, the pavement structure in Figure 3.1a is equivalent to a four-layer system shown in Figure 3.1b. The second and the third layers are both base course material. The interface boundary condition is modified to reflect the inclusion of the geosynthetic reinforcement. A MathCAD worksheet was developed to carry out the calculation.

The calculated vertical stress at the top of the subgrade layer can be used to estimate the resilient modulus of the reinforced base course. The estimated resilient modulus, which is expected to be higher than the unreinforced base resilient modulus, can be used as an input in the MEPDG program. Due to the incensement in the base modulus, all pavement distresses calculated by the program should be reduced.

Modified Rutting Model for reinforced layer

The total rutting of a flexible pavement at any time in the design period can be calculated from the sum of the rutting from the surface course, base course, subbase course(s), and the subgrade. When a geocell reinforced base course is presented, the permanent deformation of the reinforced layer is mitigated due to the lateral confinement provided by the geocell. In this study, an analytical model is proposed to estimate the reduced permanent deformation. The analytical model considers a cylinder aggregate (or soil sample) under a repeated load triaxial (RLT) compression test.

First, consider a cylindrical sample of unreinforced unbound granular material (UGM) subjected to a constant confining stress σ_3 and a repeated deviatoric stress $\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$, assuming that the applied stress level does not exceed the shakedown limit of the material and that the sample will reach an elastic state (also called the resilient state) after a large number of load repetitions. At this stage, all the elastic strain generated in the loading period will recover in the following unloading period, and the stress-strain relationship of the sample can be described using the resilient modulus M_r .

$$\varepsilon_{1,r} = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{M_r} \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varepsilon_{1,r}$ = the axial resilient strain. It is well known that the resilient modulus M_r of the UGM is a stress-dependent material property. Eq. (3.5) is used to describe the stress dependency of the resilient modulus of the UGM.

$$M_r = k_1 p_a \left(\frac{\theta}{p_a}\right)^{k_2} \left(\frac{\tau_{oct}}{p_a} + 1\right)^{k_3}$$
(3.5)

where k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 = resilient modulus parameters of the material; p_a = atmosphere pressure; and θ and τ_{oct} = bulk stress and octahedral shear stress, respectively. In the triaxial test condition ($\sigma_2 = \sigma_3$), θ and τ_{oct} can be expressed as

$$\theta = \sigma_1 + \sigma_3 \tag{3.6}$$

$$\tau_{oct} = \frac{2}{3}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) \tag{3.7}$$

The RLT test often runs to a large number of load repetitions (e.g., 10^4 cycles). The permanent deformation behavior of the material is often characterized by the relationship between the axial permanent strain $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ (or the ratio of $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ to $\varepsilon_{1,r}$) and the number of load repetitions N. Many empirical models have been proposed to describe such a relationship. Tseng and Lytton's (1989) model [Eq. (3.8)] is selected in this study because it is the basis of the permanent deformation model for UGMs adopted in the current MEPDG.

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{1,p}}{\varepsilon_{1,r}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_r}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{N}\right)^{\beta}}$$
(3.8)

where $(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon_r)$, ρ , and β = permanent deformation parameters of the UGM, which can be determined by fitting the measured permanent deformation test curve. The form of Tseng and Lytton's (1989) model implies that the sample reaches the resilient state (the permanent deformation becomes constant) when N approaches infinity. At the resilient state, the accumulated permanent deformation approaches the value of $(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon_r)$, . In practice, however, the value of $(\varepsilon_0/\varepsilon_r)$, obtained from regression sometimes may be unreasonably large, especially when the shape of the measured permanent deformation curve has not approached a constant. It is therefore necessary to set a limit to the load repetition N in Eq. (5) to estimate the value of $\frac{\varepsilon_{1,p}}{\varepsilon_{1,r}}$ when the sample reaches the resilient state. For most UGMs, 10⁵ load repetitions should be adequate for the sample to reach the resilient state.

Now consider a geocell-reinforced UGM cylindrical sample subjected to a constant confining stress σ_3 and a repeated deviatoric stress $\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$. When the sample reaches the resilient state, the soil has already developed some amount of permanent strain in both the axial direction ($\varepsilon_{1,p}$) and the lateral direction ($\varepsilon_{3,p}$). The lateral expansive permanent strain of the sample will induce an additional confining stress $\Delta \sigma_3$ from the geocell to the UGM. In a geocell-reinforced sample, the additional confining stress can be assumed to be applied uniformly through the hoop stress.

Before establishing the stress-strain relationship of the reinforced sample, it should be noted that the resilient modulus M_r is defined as the secant modulus when the stress state of the soil is changed from the hydrostatic state ($\sigma_1 = \sigma_3$) to another stress state with an increased σ_1 ($\sigma_1 > \sigma_3$). Actually, it does not matter if the axial stress increases or decreases because the major and minor principal stresses are exchangeable. Therefore, the stress-strain behavior for a reinforced UGM sample in a loading cycle (the axial stress increases from σ_3 to σ_1) has to be analyzed in two consequent stages. In Stage 1, the axial stress increases from σ_3 to $\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3$ so that the UGM sample reaches a hydrostatic state (i.e., confining stress = axial stress = $\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3$). In Stage 2, the axial stress continues to increase from $\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3$ to σ_1 . The stress-strain relationships in these two stages are derived as follows:

Stage 1: The axial stress increases from σ_3 to $\sigma_3 + \Delta \sigma_3$. The resilient modulus in this stage $M_{r,1}$ can be determined by Eq. (3.5) with

$$\theta = 3\sigma_3 + 2\Delta\sigma_3 \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\tau_{oct} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \Delta \sigma_3 \tag{3.9b}$$

Stage 2: The axial stress continues to increase from $\sigma_3 + \Delta \sigma_3$ to σ_1 . The resilient modulus in this stage $M_{r,2}$ can be determined by Eq. (3.5) with

$$\theta = \sigma_1 + 2(\sigma_3 + \Delta \sigma_3) \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\tau_{oct} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} [\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta \sigma_3)]$$
(3.10*b*)

Therefore, the full resilient stress-strain relationship of the reinforced sample can be derived by combining the preceding two stages

$$\varepsilon_{1,r} = \frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{M_{r,1}} + \frac{\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3)}{M_{r,2}} = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{M_{r,reinf}}$$
(3.11)

It should be noted that the cyclic load of Stage 1 results in an axial extension, whereas the cyclic load of Stage 2 results in an axial compression. Based on Eq. (3.8), these two components together result in the overall axial permanent deformation $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ when the sample reaches the resilient state.

$$\varepsilon_{1,p} = \left[-\frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{M_{r,1}} + \frac{\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3)}{M_{r,2}} \right] \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_r}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{N_{limit}}\right)^{\beta}}$$
(3.12)

The next step is to establish the relationship between the additional confining stress $\Delta \sigma_3$ and the permanent strain of the sample. For a geocell-reinforced UGM sample, assuming that the tensile stress in the geocell is uniform along the height of the sample (i.e., the geocell deforms as a right cylinder), the hoop stress of the geocell can be calculated as

$$\Delta \sigma_3 = \frac{2M}{D} \left(\varepsilon_{1,p} \right) \tag{3.13}$$

Where M is the tensile stiffness of the geocell material and D is the equivalent pocket diameter of the geocell.

Substitute Eq. (3.12) into Eq. (13) gives

$$\Delta\sigma_3 = \frac{M}{D} \left[-\frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{M_{r,1}} + \frac{\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3)}{M_{r,2}} \right] \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_r}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\rho}{N_{limit}}\right)^{\beta}}$$
(3.14)

Eq. (3.14) can be solved by iteration. With $\Delta \sigma_3$ obtained, Eq. (3.11) can be used to calculate the resilient modulus $M_{r,reinf}$ and the resilient strain $\varepsilon_{1,r}$ of the reinforced UGM sample. Then Eq. (3.12) can be used to calculate the axial permanent deformation $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ at any load repetition N.

It should be noted that, based on the equation above, the geocell increases the resilient modulus of the base material by a constant factor k_M .

$$k_M = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{M_r}\right) / \left[\frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{M_{r,1}} + \frac{\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3)}{M_{r,2}}\right]$$
(3.15)

Similarly, the permanent deformation in the reinforced base course reduced by a constant factor k_R .

$$k_R = \left[-\frac{\Delta\sigma_3}{M_{r,1}} + \frac{\sigma_1 - (\sigma_3 + \Delta\sigma_3)}{M_{r,2}} \right] / \left(\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}{M_r} \right)$$
(3.16)

The two factors k_M and k_R can be used in the MEPDG design for geocell-reinforced asphalt pavements. Two examples are presented in the next chapter.

4. Design Examples

This chapter presents two design example using the MEPDG. Example 1 is an asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced aggregate base. Example 2 is an asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced soil base. The calculation of both example was done with MathCAD spread sheets.

Example 1 Asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced aggregate base

Design Input

Geocell (Presto GW20V): Opening Length L = 224 mm Opening Width W = 259 mm $H = 100 \, mm$ Depth Wall thickness T = 1.3 mm (Assumed) Elastic Modulus $E_s = 200 MPa$ (Assumed) $M = E_s.T = 260 \frac{kN}{m}$ Tensile Stiffness: $D = \frac{2\sqrt{L^2 + W^2}}{\pi} = 218 \, mm$ Equivalent Pocket Diameter: $E_g = 21 \left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{L^2 + W^2}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{H}{1 mm}\right) E_s = 0.23 MPa$ Equivalent 3-layer properties: Base Material: Sand $K_1 = 42$ $K_2 = 0.57$ $K_3 = 0$ $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r = 80$ $\rho = 1000$ $\beta = 0$ Stress Condition (Estimated) $\sigma_1 = 42 \ kPa$ $\sigma_3 = 14 \ kPa$ $\theta = \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3 = 70 \ kPa$ Bulk Stress: $\tau_{oct} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) = 13.2 \ kPa$ Octahedral shear stress: $p_a = 101.3 \, kPa$ Atmosphere pressure: Resilient modulus of unreinforced soil: $M_r = k_1 \cdot p_a \left(\frac{\theta}{p_a}\right)^{k_2} \left(\frac{\tau_{oct}}{p_a} + 1\right)^{k_1} = 35.5 MPa$

Step 1 -Solve $\Delta \sigma_3$ graphically by plotting both sides of Eq. 3.14.

 $\Delta\sigma_3=0\;kPa,2\;kPa,\ldots 12\;kPa$



From the above graph, $\Delta\sigma_3=9.7~kPa$

Step 2 – Determine the resilient modulus for the reinforced base by Eq. 3.15.

Resilient modulus improvement factor: $k_M(9.4 \ kPa) = 1.062$

$$M_{r,reinf} = 1.062 M_r = 37.7 MPa$$

Step 3 – Determine the reduction factor k_R from Eq. 3.16.

$$k_R(9.2 \, kPa) = 0.23$$

Step 4 – Use $M_r = 37.8 \text{ MPa}$ and $k_R = 0.23$ for the reduction factor of rutting for the base course in the MEPDG design.

Example 2 Asphalt pavement over geocell-reinforced soil base

Design Input

Geocell (Presto GW20V):

Opening Length L = 224 mmOpening Width W = 259 mm $H = 100 \, mm$ T = 1.3 mm (Assumed) Depth Wall thickness $E_s = 200 MPa$ (Assumed) Elastic Modulus $M = E_s.T = 260\frac{kN}{m}$ Tensile Stiffness: $D = \frac{2\sqrt{L^2 + W^2}}{\pi} = 218 \, mm$ Equivalent Pocket Diameter: $E_g = 21 \left(\frac{T}{\sqrt{L^2 + W^2}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{H}{1 mm}\right) E_s = 0.023 MPa$ Equivalent 3-layer properties: Base Material: Soil $K_1 = 397$ $K_2 = 0.49$ $K_3 = 0 - 24$ $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r = 130$ $\rho = 2000$ $\beta = 0.4$ Stress Condition (Estimated) $\sigma_1 = 42 \ kPa$ $\sigma_3 = 14 \ kPa$ Bulk Stress: $\theta = \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3 = 70 \ kPa$ $\tau_{oct} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3) = 13.2 \ kPa$ Octahedral shear stress: $p_a = 101.3 \, kPa$ Atmosphere pressure: Resilient modulus of unreinforced soil: $M_r = k_1 \cdot p_a \left(\frac{\theta}{p_a}\right)^{k_2} \left(\frac{\tau_{oct}}{p_a} + 1\right)^{k_1} = 32.6 MPa$

20

Step 1 -Solve $\Delta \sigma_3$ graphically by plotting both sides of Eq. 3.14.

 $\Delta\sigma_3=0\;kPa,2\;kPa,\ldots 12\;kPa$



From the above graph, $\Delta \sigma_3 = 11.3 \ kPa$

Step 2 – Determine the resilient modulus for the reinforced base by Eq. 3.15.

Resilient modulus improvement factor: $k_M(9.4 \ kPa) = 1.079$

$$M_{r,reinf} = 1.062 M_r = 35.2 MPa$$

Step 3 – Determine the reduction factor k_R from Eq. 3.16.

$$k_R(9.2 \, kPa) = 0.101$$

Step 4 – Use $M_r = 35.2$ MPa and $k_R = 0.101$ for the reduction factor of rutting for the base course in the MEPDG design.

5. Summary and Recommendations

The shrinkage problem on thinly pavement asphalt pavements over subgrade soil can be potentially mitigated by the use of a geocell-reinforced aggregate base layer or a geocell-reinforced soil base layer under the asphalt surface course. Currently there is no design method available for flexible pavements with a geocell reinforced base. A preliminary design method was developed which is compatible to the current AASHTO MEPDG. Due to the limited time of this project, field trial section test was not conducted. It is recommended that ODOT locates a suitable project in districts with expansive soil issues to incorporate a geocell reinforced base section and then monitor the performance for a few years.

6. References

Bulut, R., Zaman, M., Amer, O., Mantri, S., Chen, L., Tian, Y., and Taghichian, A. (2009). *Drying Shrinkage Problems in High-Plastic Clay Soils in Oklahoma*. OkTC Research Report OTCREOS11.1-09-F, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK.

Dessouky, S., Oh, J. H., Yang, M., Ilias, M., Lee, S. I., Freeman, T., Bourland, M., and Jao, M. (2012). *Pavement Repair Strategies for Selected Distresses in FM Roadways*. TxDOT Research Report FHWA/TX-11/0-6589-1, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX.

Gaspard, K. J. (2000). Evaluation of Cement Treated Base. Technical Assistance Report Number 00-1TA, Louisiana Transportation Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA.

Luo, R., and Prozzi, J. (2009). "Combining geogrid reinforcement and lime treatment to control dry land longitudinal cracking." *Transportation Research Board, Journal of Transportation Research Record*, 2014, 88-96.

PRESTO Geosystems. (2014). *Flexible Alternative for Irrigation Canal Lining*. On line document. http://www.prestogeo.com/downloads/Bj5ygfXc3guxwnTwoGmWtw7cfj7seP3fzwUVSrxLOh0BLSxzYZ/C olorado%20Irrigation%20Canal%20Lining.pdf, accessed 9/1/2015.

Sebesta, S. and Scullion, T. (2004). *Effectiveness of Minimizing Reflective Cracking in Cement-Treated Bases by Micro-Cracking*. TxDOT Research Report FHWA/TX-05/0-4502-1. Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX.

Scullion, T., Sebesta, S., Harris, J. P., and Syed, I. (2000). *A Balanced Approach to Selecting the Optimal Cement Content for Soil-Cement Bases*. Report 404611-1, Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX.

Webster, S. L. (1979a). Investigation of Beach Sand Trafficability Enhancement Using Sand-Grid Confinement and Membrane Reinforcement Concepts: Report 1, Sand Test Sections 1 and 2. Technical Report GL-79-20, Geotechnical Laboratory, US Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experimentation Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Webster, S. L. (1979b). *Investigation of Beach Sand Trafficability Enhancement Using Sand-Grid Confinement and Membrane Reinforcement Concepts: Report 2, Sand Test Sections 3 and 4*. Technical Report GL-79-20, Geotechnical Laboratory, US Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experimentation Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Yang, X. and Han, J. (2013). "Analytical model for resilient modulus and permanent deformation of geosynthetic-reinforced unbound granular material." *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 139(9), 1443-1453.

Yang, X. Han, J., Leshchinsky, D., and Parsons, R. L. (2013). "A three-dimensional mechanistic-empirical model for geocell-reinforced unpaved roads." *Acta Geotechnica*, 8(2), 201-213.

Zhang, X. and Presler, W. (2012). *Use of H2Ri Wicking Fabric to Prevent Frost Boils in the Dalton Highway Beaver Slide Area, Alaska*. Alaska University Transportation Center. Fairbanks, AK.

Zornberg, J. G., Prozzi, J., Gupta, R., Luo, R., McCartney, J. S., Ferreira, J. Z., and Nogueira, C. (2008).

Validating Mechanisms in Geosynthetic Reinforced Pavements. TxDOT Research Report FHWA/TX-08/0-

4829-1, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX.